| | Case 2:22-cv-01225-DAD-EFB Docume | ent 48 Filed 09/05/24 Page 1 of 2 |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | ROBERT L. SANFORD, | No. 2:22-cv-01225-DAD-EFB (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | V. | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND |
| 14 | KATHLEEN ALLISON, et al., | RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION |
| 15 | Defendants. | (Doc. Nos. 36, 39, 40, 47) |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff Robert L. Sanford is a state prisoner appearing <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | On August 13, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations | |
| 21 | recommending this action be dismissed, without prejudice, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil | |
| 22 | Procedure 41(b), due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and failure to comply with court | |
| 23 | orders. (Doc. No. 47.) The findings and recommendations were served on the parties and | |
| 24 | contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after | |
| 25 | service. (<i>Id.</i> at 3.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, | |
| 2627 | and the time in which to do so has now passed. | |
| 28 | ///// | |
| 20 | | 1 |

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly: 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 13, 2024 (Doc. No. 47) are adopted in full; 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and failure to comply with court orders; 3. Defendants' pending motions (Doc. Nos. 36, 39, 40) are denied as having been rendered moot by this order; and 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **September 4, 2024** UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 2:22-cv-01225-DAD-EFB Document 48 Filed 09/05/24 Page 2 of 2